

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
APPLICATION NO. 09/869,251	FILING DATE	Michael F. Novits		2269	
	06/26/2001		ir 3492NP-PC		
7590 02/05/2003			EXAMINER		
Royal E Brigh Atofina Chemi	nt cals Inc		MOORE, MA	ARGARET G	
26th Floor 2000 Market S	treet		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Philadelphia, P	A 19103-3222	,	1712	3	
			DATE MAILED: 02/05/200	DATE MAILED: 02/05/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.		Applicant(s)	m r	
,	•	09/869,251		NOVITS ET AL.		
J	Office Action Summary			Art Unit		
		Margaret G Mo	oore	1712		
	· The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cove	er sheet with the	correspondence ad	dress	
	Ponty					
THE N - Extens after S - If the   - If NO - Failur	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Isions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 DIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statually received by the Office later than three months after the mailing datent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	.136(a). In no event, how ply within the statutory m d will apply and will expire	wever, may a reply be to ninimum of thirty (30) do e SIX (6) MONTHS fro	imely filed  ays will be considered time  m the mailing date of this of  IFD (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ly. communication.	
tatus						
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	—— This action is non	-final	,		
2a)☐	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) □	Inis action is non	formal matters	prosecution as to t	he merits is	
3)	Since this application is in condition for allocal or accordance with the practice under	er <i>Ex parte Quay</i> l	e, 1935 C.D. 11	, 453 O.G. 213.		
)ispositi	on of Claims	·		,		
<b>4</b> \⊠	Claim(s) 1 to 27 is/are pending in the applic	cation.				
,	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd	rawn from consid	eration.			
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)	Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)🛛		nd/or election requ	uirement.			
Applicat	tion Papers					
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.	ected to by the E	xaminer.		
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac Applicant may not request that any objection to	ccepted of b) obj	held in abevance	. See 37 CFR 1.85(a	a).	
		is: a)∏ appr	oved b) disar	proved by the Exan	niner.	
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on  If approved, corrected drawings are required in					
	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner.				
		_				
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for	reian priority unde	er 35 U.S.C. § 11	19(a)-(d) or (f).		
		olgii pirawi,				
6	a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1.☐ Certified copies of the priority docum	nents have been	received.			
	de la comparity docum	nents have been	received in Appl	ication No		
		priority document	ts have been red	ceived in this Natio	nal Stage	
,	application from the International	a list of the certific	ed copies not rec	ceived.	,	
14)	1 Acknowledgment is made of a claim for don	nestic priority und	ler 35 U.S.C. § 1	(19(e) (to a provisi	опатаррисацопу.	
1	a) ☐ The translation of the foreign languag     ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dor	orovisional and	lication has beei	Heceiveu.		
Attachm				mmary (PTO-413) Pape	er No(s) ·	
	otice of References Cited (PTO-892) otice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 ıformation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper N	8)	4) Interview Sui 5) Notice of Info 6) Other:	ormal Patent Application	(PTO-152)	
LLC Patent a	and Trademark Office				Part of Paper No. 3	

Application/Control Number: 09/869,251

Art Unit: 1712

1. This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

The silicone elastomer species and the formula (I) species of generic component a), and the various compounds embraced by the generic component b).

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

The following claim(s) are generic: claims 1 to 6, 12 to 17, 19, 20 and 23 to 27.

- 2. The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: claim 1 is not deemed to be a novel claim due to the fact that the Examiner has found at least one reference that anticipates this claim. See the cited abstract for JP 61014238, which contains a bismaleimide and a sulphur donor. Since this anticipates claim 1, but not all of the claims, clearly the claims do not contain a special technical feature.
- 3. A telephone call was made to Stanley Marcus on 1/23/03 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Application/Control Number: 09/869,251

Art Unit: 1712

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

- 4. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
- 5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Margaret G. Moore whose telephone number is 703-308-4334. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon., Wed., Thurs. and Friday, 10am to 4pm..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Dawson can be reached on 703-308-2340. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9311 for regular communications and 703-872-9310 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

Margaret G. Moore Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1712

mgm January 23, 2003